



United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

\							
APPLICATION	ν <u>(</u> 0.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/989,462		11/21/2001	Han Kyoung Cho	· K-0348	1697		
34610	7590	05/07/2004		EXAM	INER		
FLESH	NER & K	IM, LLP	TRAN, TRANG U				
P.O. BO	X 221200						
CHANT	ILLY, VA	20153	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
	,			2614	6		
				DATE MAILED: 05/07/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ③ MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Eatherisms of the may be available under the providers of 37 CFR 1.13(6). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (20) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If the period for reply specified above, its meanimum statutory period will apply and will engly 51 (5) (MONTHS from the metallog date of this communication. Fallure to reply welfain the set or standard parient for reply will, it is statuted by minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If the period for reply specified above, its meanimum statutory period will apply and will engly 51 (6) (MONTHS (5) (5) (MONTHS form the metallog date of this communication. Fallure to reply welfain the set or standard parient for metallog date of this communication. Fallure to reply welfain the set of standard parient for reply will be statuted to the communication. Fallure to reply welfain the set or standard parient for reply will be statuted to the communication. As a communication of the set of the communication of the communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any set of the communication. Paging and parient form adjustment. Set 37 CFR 1.704(b). This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is ron-final. 3) Status Claim(s) 1.22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Claim(s) 1.22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Claim(s) 1.23 is/are allowed. 4b) Claim(s) 1.23 is/are allowed. 6b) Claim(s) 1.26 and 17.21 is/are rejected. 7c) Claim(s) 1.26 and 22 is/are allowed. 6c) Claim(s) 1.26 and 22 is/are objected to . 8c) The drawing(s) filed on 10.4 anuary 2002 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. 10) The providing (s) filed on 10.4 anuary 2002 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 10.4 anuary 2002 is/are: a) accepted or b) ob										
Office Action Summary Trans	2			Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
Trang U. Tran Trang	÷			09/989,462		CHO, HAN KYOUNG				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Repty A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be waited under the provision of 37 CFR 1.13(s). In or event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of 37 CFR 1.13(s). In or event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The state of the communication of the state of the communication of the state of the communication of the state of the state of the communication. Failus to reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified in the mailing date of this communication. Failus to reply within the state of the state of the communication. Failus to reply within the state of the state of the communication. Failus to reply within the state of the score of th		Office Action Summ	nary	Examiner		Art Unit				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the proteions of 37 CFR 1.136(s). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled - If the period for reply selected above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will excite Stx (8) MONTH is from the neulting date of this communication. - If the period for reply selected above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will excite Stx (8) MONTH is from the neulting date of this communication. - Fasting the provided by the office fact that there are mortes after the mailing date of this communication. - Fasting the provided by the office fact that there are mortes after the mailing date of this communication, event it imply filed, may reduce any example and the mailing date of this communication, event it imply filed, may reduce any example and the mailing date of this communication, event it imply filed, may reduce any example and the mailing date of this communication, event it imply filed, may reduce any example and the mailing date of this communication, event it imply filed, may reduce any example and the mailing date of this communication, event it imply filed, may reduce any example and the mailing date of this communication, event it imply filed, may reduce any example and place the mailing date of the communication and event filed the provided and the provided and place and				Trang U. Tra	in	2614				
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be variable under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.13(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period or reply specified above is less than think (20) days, a reply within the state or reply period above is less than think (20) days, a reply within the state or reply period above is less than the mailing date of the state or reply self-or this self-or reply self-or this self-or reply self-or reply self-or this self-or reply self-or rep			communication app	pears on the d	over sheet with the d	correspondence addre	ess			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 January 2004. 2a)□ This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5)□ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)□ Claim(s) 1-4.6-15 and 17-21 is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) 5.16 and 22 is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) is 3-16 and 22 is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) is 3-16 and 22 is/are objected to. 8)□ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on 10 January 2002 is/are: a)☑ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. Sea 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12)☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)☑ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: 1.☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3.□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1)☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-882) 1)☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5)☑ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	THE MA - Extension after SIX - If the per - If NO per - Failure to Any reply	ILING DATE OF THIS CO ns of time may be available under the (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of od for reply specified above is less the irreply within the set or extended perior received by the Office later than three	DMMUNICATION. provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 of this communication. nan thirty (30) days, a repl naximum statutory period od for reply will, by statute ee months after the mailin	136(a). In no event ly within the statuto will apply and will e e, cause the applica	, however, may a reply be tir ry minimum of thirty (30) day expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this comm ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.			
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4.6-15 and 17-21 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1-4.6-15 and 17-21 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 3.16 and 22 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 3.16 and 22 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-4.6-15 and 17-21 is/are rejected. 7) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 10.1 anuary 2002 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 3) Information Disclosure for Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Status									
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Clairms 4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4.6-15 and 17-21 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1-4.6-15 and 17-21 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filled on 10 January 2002 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-152)	1)⊠ R€	esponsive to communication	on(s) filed on 12 J	anuary 2004.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) 1-4.6-15 and 17-21 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 10 January 2002 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 3) Notice of References Cited (PTO-1449 or PTO/5808) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				n-final.					
Al) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s)	,—		ondition for allowa	ince except fo	r formal matters, pro	osecution as to the m	erits is			
4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4,6-15 and 17-21 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 5,16 and 22 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 10 January 2002 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) ome * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Informal Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	clo	sed in accordance with th	e practice under t	Ex parte Qua	/le, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) ☒ Claim(s) 5.16 and 22 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) 5.16 and 22 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 10 January 2002 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of Partsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 2) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Disposition	of Claims								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4,6-15 and 17-21 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 5.16 and 22 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 5.16 and 22 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 10 January 2002 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Paffsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 2) Notice of Torfitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	4)⊠ CI	aim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending	in the application	١.						
6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4,6-15 and 17-21 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 5,16 and 22 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 10 January 2002 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4a)	Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdra	wn from cons	ideration.		•			
To Claim(s) 5.16 and 22 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 10 January 2002 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Attachment(s) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	5) <u></u> CI	aim(s) is/are allowe	ed.							
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 10 January 2002 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 1) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 1) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	6)⊠ CI									
Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 10 January 2002 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	7)⊠ CI	⊠ Claim(s) <u>5,16 and 22</u> is/are objected to.								
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 10 January 2002 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	8)□ CI	aim(s) are subject t	o restriction and/o	or election rec	uirement.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on 10 January 2002 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Application	Papers								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some collar None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	9)[] Th	e specification is objected	to by the Examine	er.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	10)⊠ Th	e drawing(s) filed on 10 Ja	anuary 2002 is/are	e: a) 🛛 accep	ted or b) objected	d to by the Examiner.				
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						•				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Attachment(s) Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Diplomation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Re	placement drawing sheet(s)	including the correc	tion is required	if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR	1.121(d).			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	11) 🔲 The	e oath or declaration is ob	jected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note	the attached Office	Action or form PTO-	-152.			
a) ⊠ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 1. ☑ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119								
a) ⊠ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	12\⊠ Ac	cnowledgment is made of	a claim for foreign	n priority unde	r 35 U.S.C. & 119/a)-(d) or (f)				
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 1 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		=	_	i priority unde	1 00 0.0.0. 3 1 10(0) (d) 61 (1).				
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	•			ts have been	received					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 1 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		_				ion No				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date		_ '	•		• •	<u> </u>	ane			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	0.,		·	_			190			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	* See	· ·		•	` ''	ed.				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)										
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Attachmant/-\									
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		References Cited (DTO 200)			\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	(DTO 440)				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			Review (PTO-948)	4						
	3) 🔲 Informati	on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTC		,			52)			

Art Unit: 2614

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 6 is recites the limitation "the bit shifter" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1, 6-9, 11-12 and 17-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Rainville et al. (Publication No. US2002/0069411A1).

In considering claim 1, Rainville et al disclose all the claimed subject matter, note, 1) the claimed a device for processing a PIP (picture in picture) in a TV comprising: a first video processing part for receiving, and processing a main picture video signal into a signal displayable on a screen is met by the parsing and layout

Art Unit: 2614

engine 206 which conditions the HTML data for display on a television screen and extracts the specific video related information from the HTML source 202 (Fig. 6, page 3, [0033]-[0036]), 2) the claimed a second video processing part for receiving, and processing a sub picture video signal into a signal displayable on a region of the screen is met by the video processor 210 for decoding the MPEG Audio/Video compressed stream 203 (Fig. 6, page 3, [0038]-[0039]), 3) the claimed a control part for providing a control signal according to a users command is met by the video and graphics (GFX) control engine 208 which takes the user inputs 201, which consist of Picture-In-Graphics (PIG) controls 205 and transparency controls 204 (Fig. 6, page 3, [0037]), and 4) the claimed an adjusting part for adjusting a presenting ratio of a video signal from the second video processing part in response to the control signal from the control part is met by the display formatter module 212 and the video resizing module 211 which allow flexible combinations of graphics data 215 and real time video stream data 216 to form a various Picture In Graphics (PIG) and transparency combinations at the output 213, as illustrated in Figs. 3A-3C, 4A, 4B and 5A-5E (Fig. 6, page 3, [0038] and page 4. [0058]-[0064]).

In considering claim 6, the claimed further comprising a switching part for superimposing the video signal from the first video processing part and the video signal from the bit shifter selectively according to the control signal from the control part is met by the display formatter module 212 and the video resizing module 211 which allow flexible combinations of graphics data 215 and real time video stream data 216 to form a various Picture In Graphics (PIG) and transparency combinations at the output 213,

Art Unit: 2614

as illustrated in Figs. 3A-3C, 4A, 4B and 5A-5E (Fig. 6, page 3, [0038] and page 4, [0058]-[0064]).

In considering claim 7, Rainville et al disclose all the claimed subject matter, note 1) the claimed a method for processing a PIP in a TV, comprising the steps of: (a) displaying the PIP when a user selects a PIP function is met by Figs. 2A-2C, the combined PIP/background image (page 2, [0026]-[0028]), 2) the claimed (b) displaying a mix ratio of the displayed PIP and main picture is met by Figs. 5A-5E which show different modes of operation based on viewer control over the size, position and degree of transparency (or level of translucency) of the Picture-In-Graphics (PIG) television video image (page 2, [0029]-[0032]), and 3) the claimed (c) adjusting the mix ratio the user desires with reference to the displayed mix ratio is met by the display formatter module 212 and the video resizing module 211 which allow flexible combinations of graphics data 215 and real time video stream data 216 to form a various Picture In Graphics (PIG) and transparency combinations at the output 213, as illustrated in Figs. 3A-3C, 4A, 4B and 5A-5E (Fig. 6, page 3, [0038] and page 4, [0058]-[0064]).

In considering claim 8, the claimed wherein the step (b) includes the step of displaying the mix ratio in a form of an OSD is met by Figs. 5A-5E which show different modes of operation based on viewer control over the size, position and degree of transparency (or level of translucency) of the Picture-In-Graphics (PIG) television video image (page 2, [0029]-[0032]).

In considering claim 9, the claimed wherein the step (c) includes the step of adjusting a luminance of a PIP according to a users requirement with reference to the

Art Unit: 2614

mix ratio displayed in the OSD form, for adjusting the mix ratio of a picture in a region the main picture and the sub picture are superimposed is met by Figs. 5A-5E which show different modes of operation based on viewer control over the size, position and degree of transparency (or level of translucency) of the Picture-In-Graphics (PIG) television video image because adjusting the weighted of each pixel in television video image means adjusting the luminance value of each pixel in television video image (page 2, [0029]-[0032]).

In considering claim 11, Rainville et al. disclose all the claimed subject matter. note 1) the claimed a method for processing a PIP (picture in picture) in a digital television receiver, the method comprising: processing a first video signal representative of a main picture into main picture data displayable on a display screen is met by the parsing and layout engine 206 which conditions the HTML data for display on a television screen and extracts the specific video related information from the HTML source 202 (Fig. 6, page 3, [0033]-[0036]), 2) the claimed processing a second video signal representative of a sub picture into sub picture data displayable on a portion of the display screen is met by the video processor 210 for decoding the MPEG Audio/Video compressed stream 203 (Fig. 6, page 3, [0038]-[0039]), 3) the claimed outputting a given number of data bits among the sub picture data according to a user command is met by the video and graphics (GFX) control engine 208 which takes the user inputs 201, which consist of Picture-In-Graphics (PIG) controls 205 and transparency controls 204 and the output from the video and graphics (GFX) control engine 208 should be the number of data bits because it operating in the digital domain

Art Unit: 2614

(Fig. 6, page 3, [0037]), and 4) the claimed superimposing the outputted sub picture data bits on the main picture data is met by the display formatter module 212 and the video resizing module 211 which allow flexible combinations of graphics data 215 and real time video stream data 216 to form a various Picture In Graphics (PIG) and transparency combinations at the output 213, as illustrated in Figs. 3A-3C, 4A, 4B and 5A-5E (Fig. 6, page 3, [0038] and page 4, [0058]-[0064]).

In considering claim 12, the claimed further comprising displaying the superimposed picture data on the display screen, wherein both of the main and sub pictures are viewable in the portion of the display screen is met by Figs. 3A-3C, the viewer has control over the transparency of the television video image 503, transparent (or translucent) television video image 503 is in the foreground, overlaid on Web page 500, by being transparent, television video image 503 does not obscure Web page 500 (page 2, [0027]-[0028]).

In considering claim 17, Rainville et al. disclose all the claimed subject matter, note 1) the claimed a method for processing a PIP (picture in picture) in a digital television receiver, the method comprising: a first video processing unit processing a first video signal representative of a main picture into displayable main picture data is met by the parsing and layout engine 206 which conditions the HTML data for display on a television screen and extracts the specific video related information from the HTML source 202 (Fig. 6, page 3, [0033]-[0036]), 2) the claimed second video processing unit processing a second video signal representative of a sub picture into displayable sub picture data is met by the video processor 210 for decoding the MPEG Audio/Video

Page 7

Art Unit: 2614

compressed stream 203 (Fig. 6, page 3, [0038]-[0039]), 3) the claimed a controller generating a control signal according to a user command is met by the video and graphics (GFX) control engine 208 which takes the user inputs 201, which consist of Picture-In-Graphics (PIG) controls 205 and transparency controls 204 (Fig. 6, page 3, [0037]), 3) the claimed an output unit coupled to the controller for outputting a given number of data bits among the sub picture data in response to the control signal is met by the output from the video and graphics (GFX) control engine 208 should be the number of data bits because it operates in the digital domain (Fig. 6, page 3, [0037]), and 5) the claimed a switching unit coupled to the output unit for superimposing the outputted sub picture data bits on the main picture data is met by the display formatter module 212 and the video resizing module 211 which allow flexible combinations of graphics data 215 and real time video stream data 216 to form a various Picture In Graphics (PIG) and transparency combinations at the output 213, as illustrated in Figs. 3A-3C, 4A, 4B and 5A-5E (Fig. 6, page 3, [0038] and page 4, [0058]-[0064]).

In considering claim 18, the claimed further comprising a display screen coupled to the switching unit for displaying the superimposed picture data, wherein both of the main and sub pictures are viewable in the portion of the display screen is met by the television 102 of Figs. 3A-3C, the viewer has control over the transparency of the television video image 503, transparent (or translucent) television video image 503 is in the foreground, overlaid on Web page 500, by being transparent, television video image 503 does not obscure Web page 500 (page 2, [0027]-[0028]).

Art Unit: 2614

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 2-3, 13 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rainville et al. (Publication No. US2002/0069411A1) in view of Yoo et al. (US Patent No. 6,333,762 B1).

In considering claim 2, Rainville et al disclose all the limitations of the instant invention as discussed in claim 1 above, except for providing the claimed wherein the first video processing part includes: an analog/ digital converter for receiving, and converting analog R, G, B video signals into digital R, G, B video signals, and a format converter for maintaining outputs of the digital R, G, B video signals converted at the analog/digital converter constant.

Yoo et al teach that referring to Fig. 10, an ADC & PLL (analog-to-digital converter and phase locked loop) 110 converts RGB signals input from a personal computer or a set-top box to digital data... and meanwhile, the scan format converter 300 according to an embodiment of the present invention bi-sigmoid-interpolates 8-bit RGB signal levels input from the ADC & PLL 100 to convert them to the high or low resolution video format (col. 6, lines 1-48) and the output from the scan format converter 300 is maintain at 8-bits (Fig. 10).

Art Unit: 2614

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of the time of the invention to incorporate the A/D converter and the scan format converter as taught by Yoo et al into Rainville et al's system in order to provide the scan format converter which can display various video formats (e.g., SVGA, XGA and VGA) input from the external device such as a personal computer or a set-top box on a display unit of the projection television or a home television, without degradation of the image quality (col. 10, lines 17-22 of Yoo et al).

In considering claim 3, Rainville et al disclose all the claimed subject matter, note 1) the claimed wherein the second video processing part includes: a video decoder 21 for receiving a video signal, and decoding the sub picture video signal from the video signal is met by the video processor 210 for decoding the MPEG Audio/Video compressed stream 203 (Fig. 6, page 3, [0038]-[0039]). However, Rainville et al explicitly do not disclose the claimed a second format converter for converting an output of the video signal decoded at the video decoder 22 constant.

Yoo et al teach that referring to Fig. 10, the scan format converter 300 according to an embodiment of the present invention bi-sigmoid-interpolates 8-bit RGB signal levels input from the ADC & PLL 100 to convert them to the high or low resolution video format (col. 6, lines 1-48) and the output from the scan format converter 300 is maintain at 8-bits (Fig. 10).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of the time of the invention to incorporate the scan format converter as taught by Yoo et al into Rainville et al's system in order to provide the scan format converter which can display

various video formats (e.g., SVGA, XGA and VGA) input from the external device such as a personal computer or a set-top box on a display unit of the projection television or a home television, without degradation of the image quality (col. 10, lines 17-22 of Yoo et al).

Page 10

In considering claim 13, Rainville et al disclose all the limitations of the instant invention as discussed in claim 11 above, except for providing the claimed wherein the first video processing representative of a main picture includes: receiving analog R, G, and B video signals representative of the main picture and converting analog R, G, B video signals into digital R, G, B video signals, and converting a format of the converted digital R, G, B video signals into a required format.

Yoo et al teach that referring to Fig. 10, an ADC & PLL (analog-to-digital converter and phase locked loop) 110 converts RGB signals input from a personal computer or a set-top box to digital data... and meanwhile, the scan format converter 300 according to an embodiment of the present invention bi-sigmoid-interpolates 8-bit RGB signal levels input from the ADC & PLL 100 to convert them to the high or low resolution video format (col. 6, lines 1-48).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of the time of the invention to incorporate the A/D converter and the scan format converter as taught by Yoo et al into Rainville et al's system in order to provide the scan format converter which can display various video formats (e.g., SVGA, XGA and VGA) input from the external device such as a personal computer or a set-top box on a display unit

Art Unit: 2614

of the projection television or a home television, without degradation of the image quality (col. 10, lines 17-22 of Yoo et al).

Claim 19 is rejected for the same reason as discussed in claim 13.

7. Claims 14 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rainville et al. (Publication No. US2002/0069411A1) in view of Lee (US Patent No. 6,373,527 B1).

In considering claim 14, Rainville et al disclose all the limitations of the instant invention as discussed in claim 11 above, except for providing the claimed wherein the second video processing representative of a sub picture includes: receiving a composite video signal and extracting a video signal representative of the sub picture from the composite signal, and converting a format of the extracted video signal into a require format.

Lee teach that the A/V switch 41 in the NTSC analog broadcasting signal processor 40 outputs one of the NTSC analog broadcasting signals received from the IF module 32 and the external input port 20 to a chroma processor 42, the chroma processor 42 generates a luminance signal Y, a first color difference signal R-Y and a second color difference signal B-Y being the second image signal from the NTSC analog broadcasting signal received from the A/V switch 41 and then outputs the generated signals to a second A/D converter 43 and outputs the A/D converted second image signal to a second format converter 62 in the format conversion portion 60, the format conversion portion 60 is a block for converting the frame formats of the first and second image signals respectively received from the HD digital broadcasting signal

Art Unit: 2614

processor 30 and the NTSC analog broadcasting signal processor 40, into a predetermined frame format (Fig. 1, col. 3, line 41 to col. 4, line 18).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of the time of the invention to incorporate the video processor which includes the chroma processor and the format converter as taught by Lee into Rainville et al's system in order to provide an HDTV for synthesizing a plurality of image signals contained in the broadcasting signals of mutually different broadcasting systems into a single picture-in-picture (PIP) image signal and displaying the synthesized PIP image signal on the screen (col. 1, line 65 to col. 2, line 2 of Lee).

Claim 20 is rejected for the same reason as discussed in claim 14.

8. Claims 4, 10, 15 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rainville et al. (Publication No. US2002/0069411A1) in view of Tsujimoto et al. (US Patent No. 5,625,764).

In considering claim 4, Rainville et al disclose all the limitations of the instant invention as discussed in claim 1 above, except for providing the claimed wherein the adjusting part is a bit shifter. Tsujimoto et al teach that the addition circuit 201 inputs 8-bit binary numbers which have been multiplied by their respective weights (i.e., ½, ¼ 1/8, 1/16 and 1/16) by means of digit shift, sums those products and outputs a sum, these weights total to 1 and form a geometrical progression of a common ratio of ½, the weight of output is total of weights (i.e., 1) and is shifted 4 digit places from the minimum weight of 1/16, therefore, the outputs is 12 bits, the selectors 202-206 select between an INPUT SIGNAL A and an INPUT SIGNAL B for their respective weight inputs, \$2-\$6

Application/Control Number: 09/989,462 Page 13

Art Unit: 2614

are selector control signals (Figs. 30 and 31, col. 25, line 9 to col. 27, line 21).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of the time of the invention to incorporate the addition circuit with digit shift as taught by Tsujimoto et al into Rainville et al's system in order to correctly calculate the blending ratio to prevent the decrease of the brightness of the video signal to be displayed (col. 9, lines 24-28 of Tsujimoto et al).

In considering claim 10, Rainville et al disclose all the limitations of the instant invention as discussed in claim 7 above, except for providing the claimed wherein the step (c) includes the step of fixing the mix ratio depending on connections between the video signal data from the first video processing part and the video signal from the second video processing part according to a users requirement. Tsujimoto et al teach that the addition circuit 201 inputs 8-bit binary numbers which have been multiplied by their respective weights (i.e., ½, ¼ 1/8, 1/16 and 1/16) by means of digit shift, sums those products and outputs a sum, these weights total to 1 and form a geometrical progression of a common ratio of 1/2, the weight of output is total of weights (i.e., 1) and is shifted 4 digit places from the minimum weight of 1/16, therefore, the outputs is 12 bits, the selectors 202-206 select between an INPUT SIGNAL A and an INPUT SIGNAL B for their respective weight inputs, S2-S6 are selector control signals (Figs. 30 and 31, col. 25, line 9 to col. 27, line 21). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of the time of the invention to incorporate the addition circuit with digit shift as taught by Tsujimoto et al into Rainville et al's system in order to correctly calculate the blending ratio to prevent the decrease of the brightness of the video signal

Art Unit: 2614

to be displayed (col. 9, lines 24-28 of Tsujimoto et al), since the selectors 202-206 select between an INPUT SIGNAL A and an INPUT SIGNAL B for their respective weight inputs, the ratio of Tsujimoto et al is fixed depending on connections between the video signal data from the first video processing part and the video signal from the second video processing part according to a users requirement.

In considering claim 15, Rainville et al disclose all the limitations of the instant invention as discussed in claim 11 above, except for providing the claimed wherein the given number of sub picture data bits are selected in descending order of significance of bits. Tsujimoto et al teach that the pixel blend unit 104 blends these RGB pixel data according to a blend ratio that is supplied to the unit 104 in synchronization with the RGB pixel data supply thereby outputting the result of the blending operation, in the present embodiment, the blend ratio is represented by a 5-bit fixed-point binary number and its MSB represents units (descending order)...and A is the value of any one of RGB components output from the first image memory 102 (main picture), and B is the value of the same color component as above output from the second image memory 103 (sub-picture), since each of RGB components are formed by 8 bits, decimal place values are rounded-down (Fig. 14, col. 19, lines 1-39). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of the time of the invention to incorporate the number of bits in binary number and its MSB represent unit (descending order) as taught by Tsujimoto et al into Rainville et al's system in order to correctly calculate the blending ratio to prevent the decrease of the brightness of the video signal to be displayed (col. 9, lines 24-28 of Tsujimoto et al).

Art Unit: 2614

Claim 21 is rejected for the same reason as discussed in claim 15.

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 5, 16 and 22 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Suen et al. (US Patent No. 6,552,750 B1) disclose apparatus for improving the presentation of graphics data on a television display.

Westerink et al. (US Patent No. 6,359,657 B1) disclose simultaneously displaying a graphic image and video image.

Ishikawa et al. (US Patent No. 5,969,767) disclose multipicture video signal display apparatus with modified picture indication.

Kim (US Patent No. 6,473,130 B1) discloses method and apparatus for displaying sub-pictures.

Shintani (US Patent No. 5,978,046) discloses television receiver with picture-inpicture function displays titles of reduced screen programs.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Trang U. Tran whose telephone number is (703) 305-0090. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 AM - 5:30 PM, Monday to Friday.

Art Unit: 2614

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John W. Miller can be reached on (703) 305-4795. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TT

May 3, 2004

Page 16

TRANGTRAN
PATENT EXAMINER